

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,006	07/18/2003	Val Krukonis	07678/116002	4588	
21559 75	90 10/13/2006		EXAMINER		
CLARK & ELBING LLP			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
101 FEDERAL BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/623,006	KRUKONIS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	·-	in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external 						
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			е арреан оппос			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)\		1.4			
13.		to N	More			
		Dionne Walls Maye Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	s			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner is not convinced by Applicant's arguments. Applicant's main arguments surround its assertion that nicotine is not a "constituent" for the purposes of the instant claims, and there is no evidence that a process that removes nicotine, as disclosed in the Garner reference, would necessarily result in a reduction of PAH's and/or secondary alkaloids. The Examiner does not agree with such assertion. The Examiner believes that alkaloids are water-soluble tobacco components that would necessarily be removed (if only a negligible amount which would satisfy the claims) during the extraction process involving a hydrocarbon solvent. Applicant has provided no evidence to the contrary, although it attempts to present evidence by showing the tables in the instant specification. However, these tables fail to prove that the only alkaloids that would be removed via hydrocarbon extraction would be nicotine. Garner contacts the tobacco with a subcritical fluid, as claimed, resulting in the reduction of a tobacco constituent, and reliance on such reference to reject the claims is considered proper and, as such, the rejections made in the FINAL REJECTION are maintained. Note: Applicant's request to withdraw finality on the basis that the scope of the amended claims were not changed in such a manner as to make Garner now applicable is not deemed to have merit, and will not be granted.